

1 “O”

2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MICHAEL JEFFREY POTTS,

15 Defendant.
16

) Case No. CR 06-220 AHM
)
)

) ORDER OF DETENTION
)

) [Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C.
) §3143(a)]
)
)

17 The defendant having been arrested in this judicial district pursuant to a warrant
18 issued by the Honorable A. Howard Matz, United States District Judge, for an alleged
19 violation of the terms and conditions of the defendant’s supervised release; and

20 The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal
21 Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), The Court finds that:

22 A. (X) The defendant has not met his burden of establishing by clear and convincing
23 evidence that he is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or
24 (c). This finding is based on the defendant’s lack of bail resources, lack of a
25 stable residence, and the nature of the charged offense, which indicates the
26 defendant is unlikely to comply with conditions of release; and
27
28

1 B. (X) The defendant has not met his burden of establishing by clear and convincing
2 evidence that he is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any other person
3 or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding
4 is based on the nature of the charged offense and defendant's criminal history.
5

6 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further
7 revocation proceedings.
8
9

10 Dated: May 14, 2010

11 /s/ Arthur Nakazato
12 ARTHUR NAKAZATO
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28